

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:06-cr-168
	)	
v.	)	Honorable Gordon J. Quist
	)	
ARMANDO LUCIO-DEBLAS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

---

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on July 24, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Armando Lucio-Deblas entered a plea of guilty to the Indictment, charging defendant with being an alien who had previously been removed after having been convicted for the commission of a felony offense, who was found to be in the United States without having obtained the express prior consent of the Attorney General to return or to reapply for admission in violation of Title 8, U.S.C., §§ 1326(a) and 1326(b)(1), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the

defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to the Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that defendant remain detained pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending sentencing, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

The Clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the District Judge.

Date: July 24, 2006

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley  
TIMOTHY P. GREELEY  
United States Magistrate Judge

#### **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

You have the right to de novo review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d). A failure to file timely objections may result in the waiver of any further right to seek appellate review of the plea-taking procedure. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Neuman v. Rivers*, 125 F.3d 315, 322-23 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir.), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 1030 (1997); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981).